

MINUTES

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, SOUTHERN DIVISION**

HON. CHARLES S, COODY **AT** **Montgomery, Alabama**
DATE COMMENCED: **1/12/07** **AT** **3:31 P.M. TO 3:45 P.M.**
DATE COMPLETED: **1/12/07** **TO** **Digital Recorded**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

CASE NO.: 1:06CR170-WHA

SONYA PITTMAN

PLAINTIFF

APPEARANCES:

DEFENDANT

Atty. A. Clark Morris

Atty. Jay Lewis

Bernard Ross, USPO
Tamara Martin, USPO

COURT OFFICIALS PRESENT:

Court Room Deputy: Wanda Stinson

Law Clerk: Corrie Long

() NON-JURY TRIAL

(X) OTHER PROCEEDINGS: Bond Revocation Hearing

SEE MINUTES ATTACHED

LOG OF PROCEEDINGS ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED		
Description	Bond Revocation hrg - 06cr170-WHA USA v. Pittman	
Date	1/12/2007	Location Courtroom 4B
Time	Speaker	Note
3 :31:23 PM	Court	Court convenes; parties present as noted; Discussion as to the Petition to Revoke pretrial release and amended petition filed;
3 :31:47 PM	Atty. Lewis	I just gone over the amndend petition with deft, she is aware of the allegations;
3 :31:55 PM	Court	Discussion as to the allegation contained the petition.
3 :32:00 PM	Atty. Lewis	Addresses the court as to the defts position; Deft would admit to the failure to submit to the urine screen and object to the phrased used about stalling; She was unable to submit to the urine screen that it was inadvertent and involuntary; As to the second condition
3 :32:31 PM	Court	In other words you admit to fact failure to submit to urine screen;
3 :32:34 PM	Atty. Lewis	Yes. As to the second one, Ms. Pittman admits to the facts of the allegation;
3 :32:55 PM	Court	Based on that, why should I not revoke her release?
3 :32:59 PM	Atty. Lewis	Response; Discussion that she could provide the urine sample;
3 :33:26 PM	Court	Addresses the concern of the violation of her inability to stay in her residence as required;
3 :33:51 PM	Atty. Lewis	Response;
3 :34:22 PM	Court	What's the government's position;
3 :34:26 PM	Atty. Morris	Ms. Pittman left her residence on 17 different occasions from Dec. 23rd until Jan 9th; It was based on these time that she left her residence that Mr. Ross called her in; She was well aware as to why she was coming in;
3 :35:39 PM	Atty. Lewis	That's the first of my hearing of that;
3 :36:09 PM	Court	Discussion as to the violations in the petition; Even if she left one time, isn't that enough to revoke?
3 :36:52 PM	Atty. Lewis	Response;
3 :37:26 PM	Court	Response as to excused deft gave;
3 :38:13 PM	Atty. Lewis	Addresses the court as to whether the deft can be trusted;
3 :39:15 PM	Court	Who does she live with ?
3 :39:19 PM	Atty. Lewis	Danny Reese
3 :39:25 PM	Court	Is that her boyfriend?
3 :39:27 PM	Atty. Lewis	Yes;
3 :40:03 PM	Court	Is she working now?
3 :40:04 PM	Atty. Lewis	No, she was working at a local car wash in her home town, she is not at this moment;
3 :41:03 PM	Court	What levle of electronic monitoring is she presently on?

3 :41:06 PM	Mr. Ross	She's listed under the home detention component of the electronic monitoring program; Prior to her leaving without permission on the 19th, I placed her on home incarceration component, meaning that she had to remain at her residence;
3 :42:07 PM	Atty. Lewis	This is the first I've heard of that;
3 :42:21 PM	Atty. Morris	That's in the petition;
12:34:00 AM	Court	Discussion as to order entered placing deft on home detention; Court will modify the conditions of release and place deft on home incarceration; Deft informed that she must not leave the house at all, unless her USPO says so; Court will enter an order modifying those conditions;
3 :45:06 PM	Mr. Ross	Addresses the court that he transport her from jail to her residence;
3 :45:23 PM	Court	That would fine and court so orders; Deft will remain in custody until Mr. Ross can take deft home;
3 :45:37 PM	Court	Court is recessed.